DECEMBER 31, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS December 31, 2009

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1-2
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	3-4
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	5-6
STATEMENT 1	
Summary of Cash Receipts, Expenditures, and Unencumbered Cash - Regulatory Basis	7-8
STATEMENT 2	
Summary of Expenditures - Regulatory Basis (Actual and Budget)	9
STATEMENT 3	
Statement of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Regulatory Basis (Actual and Budget) General Fund Special Highway Fund Special Parks Fund Local Sales Tax Fund Bond and Interest Fund Water Fund Sewer Utility Fund	12 13 14 15 16
Statement of Cash Receipts and Expenditures - Regulatory Basis Special Revenue Nonbudgeted Funds Enterprise Nonbudgeted Funds	18 19
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	20-25
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
SCHEDULE 1 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	26-27
SCHEDULE 2 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	28-30



Chartered

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Mayor and City Council City of Chase, Kansas

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the City of Chase, Kansas as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Chase, Kansas's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards,* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and guidance in the Kansas Municipal Audit Guide. Those standards and guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in note 1, the City has prepared these financial statements in conformity with the accounting practices prescribed by the State of Kansas to demonstrate compliance with the cash basis and budget laws of the State of Kansas, which practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effect on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the City as of December 31, 2009, the changes in its financial position, or where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended.

However, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the cash and unencumbered cash balances of the City of Chase, Kansas as of December 31, 2009, and its cash receipts and expenditures and budget to actual comparisons for the year then ended, taken as a whole, on the basis of accounting described in note 1.

2301 NORTH HALSTEAD P.O. BOX 2047 HUTCHINSON, KS 67504-2047 620-669-0461 1206 W. FRONTVIEW, STE 201 P.O. BOX 1512 DODGE CITY, KS 67801-1512 620-227-3522 1301 OAK STREET P.O. BOX 189 HAYS, KS 67601-0189 785-628-2900 2601 ANDERSON AVENUE, STE 104 P.O. BOX 489 MANHATTAN, KS 66505-0489 785-537-3710

- Edward C. Michel, CPA Michael E. Evans, CPA Roger W. Field, CPA Gregory D. Daughhetee, CPA Clark R. Cowl, CPA Todd V. Pflughoeft, CPA Robert D. Schraeder, CPA Steven R. Stoecklein, CPA Kenneth D. Hamby, CPA John W. Denney, CPA
- Michael R. Meisenheimer, CPA
 - Nick L. Mueting, CPA
 - Billy J. Klug, CPA

The Mayor and City Council City of Chase, Kansas

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 4, 2010, on our consideration of the City of Chase, Kansas internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and important for assessing the results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements of the City of Chase, Kansas taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations,* and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, on the basis of accounting described in note 1.

Lindburg Vogel Pierce Farie

Certified Public Accountants

Hutchinson, Kansas October 4, 2010



Chartered

- Edward C. Michel, CPA Michael E. Evans, CPA Roger W. Field, CPA Gregory D. Daughhetee, CPA Clark R. Cowl, CPA
 - Todd V. Pflughoeft, CPA
 - Robert D. Schraeder, CPA
 - Steven R. Stoecklein, CPA
 - Kenneth D. Hamby, CPA
 - John W. Denney, CPA
 - john w. Benney, erv
- Michael R. Meisenheimer, CPA
 - Nick L. Mueting, CPA

Billy J. Klug, CPA

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Mayor and City Council City of Chase, Kansas

We have audited the financial statements of City of Chase, Kansas (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated October 4, 2010. In our report, our opinion on the financial statements was unqualified based on the prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the cash basis and budget laws of the State of Kansas which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of finding and questioned costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be material weaknesses. 2009-1 and 2009-2.

2301 NORTH HALSTEAD P.O. BOX 2047 HUTCHINSON, KS 67504-2047 620-669-0461 1206 W. FRONTVIEW, STE 201 P.O. BOX 1512 DODGE CITY, KS 67801-1512 620-227-3522 1301 OAK STREET RO. BOX 189 HAYS, KS 67601-0189 785-628-2900 2601 ANDERSON AVENUE, STE 104 P.O. BOX 489 MANHATTAN, KS 66505-0489 785-537-3710 The Mayor and City Council City of Chase, Kansas

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

City of Chase's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the City's responses and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the city council, management, and federal awarding and pass-through agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Lindburg Vogel Pierce Faris

Certified Public Accountants

Hutchinson, Kansas October 4, 2010



Chartered REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

The Mayor and City Council City of Chase, Kansas

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of City of Chase, Kansas (the City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2009. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City of Chase, Kansas compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of City of Chase is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

2301 NORTH HALSTEAD P.O. BOX 2047 HUTCHINSON, KS 67504-2047 620-669-0461 1206 W. FRONTVIEW, STE 201 P.O. BOX 1512 DODGE CITY, KS 67801-1512 620-227-3522 1301 OAK STREET P.O. BOX 189 HAYS, KS 67601-0189 785-628-2900 2601 ANDERSON AVENUE, STE 104 P.O. BOX 489 MANHATTAN, KS 66505-0489 785-537-3710

Edward C. Michel, CPA Michael E. Evans, CPA Roger W. Field, CPA

Clark R. Cowl, CPA

Todd V. Pflughoeft, CPA

Robert D. Schraeder, CPA

Steven R. Stoecklein, CPA

Kenneth D. Hamby, CPA

Michael R. Meisenheimer, CPA

John W. Denney, CPA

Nick L. Mueting, CPA

Billy J. Klug, CPA

Gregory D. Daughhetee, CPA

The Mayor and City Council City of Chase, Kansas

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control over compliance and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2009-3 and 2009-4 to be material weaknesses.

The City's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the City's responses and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the city council, management, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Lindburg Vogel Pierce Farie

Certified Public Accountants

Hutchinson, Kansas October 4, 2010

	Statement 1 Page 1 of 2	Ending Cash Balance	222,653	13,936	35.217	6,647	784	23,606		134,432	11,001	т (,	8,440	460,502	
		! 	\$ 0									_		ୢୢୢ	
- + 40		Add Outstanding Encumbrances and Accounts Payable	25,000	·	25.000			·			•		1	50,000	
0 CAS		< −	\$											Ś	
ICUMBERE		Ending Unencumbered Cash Balance	197,653	13,936 2 786	3,700	6,647	784	23,606		134,432	11,001	1 0	8,440	410,502	
UNEN 2009		Ca	θ											ω	
URES, AND Y BASIS cember 31, 3		Expenditures	291,364	9,655	25.000	I	·	ı		82,463	20,358	1,368,561	'	1,797,401	
NDITI TORY ed De		Ē	φ											ω	
SUMMARY OF CASH RECEIPTS, EXPENDITURES, AND UNENCUMBERED CASH - REGULATORY BASIS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009		Cash Receipts	251,260	11,273 457	11,561		'	12,461		73,452	21,965	1,013,378	•	1,395,807	his statement
For t			φ											Ś	rt of t
/ OF CASH		Beginning Unencumbered Cash Balance	237,757	12,318 3 320	23,656	6,647	784	11,145		143,443	9,394	355,183	8,440	812,096	n integral pa
IMAR		Cat Cat	θ											ω	are ai
SUM		Funds	GOVERNMENTAL TYPE FUNDS General	special revenue Special highway Snacial parks	Local sales tax	Capital improvements	Police seizure	uept service Bond and interest	PROPRIETARY TYPE FUNDS Enterprise	Water	Sewer	vvater project	Sewer reserve	TOTAL REPORTING ENTITY	The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

7

SUMMARY OF CASH RECEIPTS, EXPENDITURES, AND UNENCUMBERED CASH -REGULATORY BASIS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

	Statement 1 Page 2 of 2
COMPOSITION OF CASH First Bank	
Checking and savings accounts	\$ 378,988
Petty cash	35
Certificates of deposit	 70,000
	449,023
Utility accounts receivable	 11,479
TOTAL REPORTING ENTITY	\$ 460,502

SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) (BUDGETED FUNDS ONLY) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Statement 2	Sta	tem	ent	2
-------------	-----	-----	-----	---

Funds	Certified Budget	Adjustment for Qualifying Budget Credits		for Qualifying		for Qualifying		for Qualifying		for Qualifying		tified for Qualifyi		otal Budget for omparison	Ch	penditures argeable to urrent Year	Variance Over (Under)
GOVERNMENTAL TYPE FUNDS																	
General	\$ 268,534	\$	33,370	\$ 301,904	\$	291,364	\$ (10,540)										
Special revenue																	
Special highway	21,510		-	21,510		9,655	(11,855)										
Special parks	3,503		-	3,503		-	(3,503)										
Local sales tax	26,436		-	26,436		25,000	(1,436)										
Debt service																	
Bond and interest	22,000		-	22,000		-	(22,000)										
PROPRIETARY TYPE FUNDS																	
Water	85,000		-	85,000		82,463	(2,537)										
Sewer	23,000		-	23,000		20,358	(2,642)										

GENERAL FUND STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

			Page 1 of 10
	Actual	Budget	Variance Over (Under)
CASH RECEIPTS			
Taxes Ad valorem property tax Back tax collections Motor vehicle tax Lavtr Alcohol tax	\$ 79,054 5,713 29,083 1,038	\$ 88,423 24,573 - 340	\$ (9,369) 5,713 4,510 1,038 (340)
Sales tax	40,730	20,000	20,730
Total taxes	155,618	133,336	22,282
Licenses, fees, and permits Utility franchise tax Miscellaneous permits and licenses	23,298 1,210	20,000 900	3,298 310
Total licenses, fees, and permits	24,508	20,900	3,608
Charges for services Refuse	22,967	26,000	(3,033)
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties	850		850
Interest on idle funds	4,791	1,000	3,791
Ball park	3,368	<u> </u>	3,368
CDBG grant	30,002		30,002
Miscellaneous	9,156		9,156
TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS	251,260	<u>\$ 181,236</u>	\$ 70,024
EXPENDITURES General government			
Personal services Contractual services Commodities Capital outlay Loan payment fireman relief	64,478 73,390 50,461 26,379 1,356	\$ 58,000 55,000 50,000 5,000 1,356	\$ 6,478 18,390 461 21,379
Road improvement	51,652	65,178	(13,526)
Total general government	267,716	234,534	33,182

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Statement 3

GENERAL FUND STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

			Statement 3 Page 2 of 10
	Actual	Budget	Variance Over (Under)
EXPENDITURES (continued) Refuse			
Contractual services	\$ 21,173	\$ 26,000	\$ (4,827)
Police Commodities Contractual services	943 266	500 3,000	443 (2,734)
Total police	1,209	3,500	(2,291)
Fire Commodities Contractual services	559 707	3,750 750	(3,191) (43)
Total fire	1,266	4,500	(3,234)
	291,364	268,534	22,830
Adjustment for qualifying budget credits		33,370	(33,370)
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	291,364	\$ 301,904	<u>\$ (10,540)</u>
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(40,104)		
UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING	237,757		
UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING	<u>\$ 197,653</u>		

SPECIAL HIGHWAY FUND STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Statement 3 Page 3 of 10

	 Actual	 Budget	Variance Over (Under)			
CASH RECEIPTS State payments	\$ 11,273	\$ 13,640	\$	(2,367)		
EXPENDITURES Personal services Commodities	 5,574 4,081	\$ 21,510	\$	5,574 (17,429)		
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	 9,655	\$ 21,510	\$	(11,855)		
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	1,618					
UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING	 12,318					
UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING	\$ 13,936					

SPECIAL PARKS FUND STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

				-	tatement 3 age 4 of 10
	 Actual	E	Budget		/ariance Over (Under)
CASH RECEIPTS Liquor tax	\$ 457	\$	340	\$	117
EXPENDITURES Parks	 	\$	3,503	\$	(3,503)
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	457				
UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING	 3,329				
UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING	\$ 3,786				

LOCAL SALES TAX FUND STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

				Pa	age 5 of 10			
	 Actual Budget			Variance Over (Under)				
CASH RECEIPTS Sales tax	\$ 11,561	\$	8,500	\$	3,061			
EXPENDITURES Road improvements	 25,000	\$	26,436	\$	(1,436)			
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(13,439)							
UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING	 23,656							
UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING	\$ 10,217							

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Statement 3

BOND AND INTEREST FUND STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

State	em	ien	it 3
Page	6	of	10

	<u></u> ,	Actual	Budget		/ariance Over (Under)
CASH RECEIPTS					
Ad valorem property tax	\$	7,695	\$	8,607	\$ (912)
Back tax collections		548		-	548
Lavtr Mataz vehicle tex		101		-	101
Motor vehicle tax Transfer from water fund		2,712		2,241	471
Transier from water fund	<u></u>	1,405	<u></u>	-	 1,405
TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS		12,461	\$	10,848	\$ 1,613
EXPENDITURES					
Bond principal		-	\$	22,000	\$ (22,000)
Bond interest		-		-	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES			\$	22,000	\$ (22,000)
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		12,461			
UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING		11,145			
UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING	\$	23,606			

WATER FUND STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

				Pa	age 7 of 10		
	 Actual		Budget	Variance Over (Under)			
	\$ 71,923 15 1,514	\$	75,000	\$	(3,077) 15 1,514		
;	 73,452	\$	75,000	\$	(1,548)		
	24,915 7,989 22,965		30,000 20,000 15,000	\$	(5,085) (12,011) 7,965		

25,189

82,463

(9,011)

143,443

134,432

\$

\$

1,405

20,000

85,000

\$

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CASH RECEIPTS

Miscellaneous

Tyrell road

EXPENDITURES Personal services

Commodities

Debt service

Charges for service

Contractual services

Transfer to water project

TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS

TOTAL EXPENDITURES

UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING

UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING

RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES

Statement 3

25,189

(18,595)

(2,537)

SEWER UTILITY FUND STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS (ACTUAL AND BUDGET) For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

			Statement 3 Page 8 of 10
	Actual	Budget	Variance Over (Under)
CASH RECEIPTS			
Charges for service	\$ 21,965	<u>\$</u> 21,000	<u>\$ 965</u>
EXPENDITURES Personal services Contractual services Commodities	12,383 3,685 4,290	15,000 4,000 4,000	\$ (2,617) (315)
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	20,358	\$ 23,000	\$ (2,642)
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	1,607		
UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING	9,394		
UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING	\$ 11,001		

SPECIAL REVENUE NONBUDGETED FUNDS STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

		Statement 3 Page 9 of 10		
	Capital Improvement	Police Seizure		
CASH RECEIPTS	\$ <u>-</u>	<u>\$</u>		
EXPENDITURES Police		<u> </u>		
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	-	-		
UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING	6,647	784		
UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING	\$ 6,647	<u>\$ 784</u>		

ENTERPRISE NONBUDGETED FUNDS STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES - REGULATORY BASIS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

		Statement 3 Page 10 of 10
	Water Project	Sewer Utility Reserve
CASH RECEIPTS Loan proceeds Federal grant Transfer City's share of cost	\$ 779,000 184,000 50,378	\$
TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS	1,013,378	
EXPENDITURES Construction and engineering Retire temporary notes	691,561 677,000	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,368,561	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(355,183)	-
UNENCUMBERED CASH, BEGINNING	355,183	8,440
UNENCUMBERED CASH, ENDING	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 8,440</u>

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2009

NOTE 1-SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Financial Reporting Entity

The City of Chase, Kansas (the City) is a municipal corporation governed by an elected mayor and city council. There are no component units of the City.

Basis of Presentation - Fund Accounting

The accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The City has created several types of funds and a number of discrete funds within each fund type. Each fund is accounted for by a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures/expenses. The individual funds account for the governmental resources allocated to them for the purpose of carrying on specific activities in accordance with laws, regulations, or other restrictions.

The following types of funds comprise the financial activities of the City for the year of 2009:

Governmental funds

General fund—to account for all unrestricted resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special revenue funds—to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources (other than special assessments or major capital projects) that are restricted by law or administrative action to expenditure for specified purposes.

Debt service fund—to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, interest and principal on general long-term debt, and the financing of special assessments which are general obligations of the City.

Proprietary funds

Enterprise funds—to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises—where the stated intent is that the costs (expenses) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges—or where periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is deemed appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability, or other purposes.

Statutory Basis of Accounting

The statutory basis of accounting, as used in the preparation of these statutory basis financial statements, is designed to demonstrate compliance with the cash basis and budget laws of the State of Kansas. Cash receipts are recognized when the cash balance of a fund is increased. Expenditures include disbursements, accounts payable, and encumbrances. Encumbrances are commitments related to unperformed (executory) contracts for goods and services, and are usually evidenced by a purchase order or written contract. For an interfund transaction, a cash receipt is recorded in the fund receiving cash from another fund, and an expenditure would be charged in the fund from which the transfer is made.

The municipality has approved a resolution that is in compliance with K.S.A. 75-1120a(c), waiving the requirement for application of generally accepted accounting principles and allowing the municipality to use the statutory basis of accounting.

Interest Income

Unless otherwise designated, all investment income is credited to the general fund.

Vacation, Sick Leave, and Other Compensated Absences

The City does not accrue amounts for compensated absences. The amounts are not deemed material in relationship to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Departure From Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States

The basis of accounting described above results in a financial statement presentation, which shows cash receipts, cash disbursements, cash and unencumbered cash balances, and expenditures compared to budget. Balance sheets that would have shown noncash assets such as receivables, inventories, and prepaid expense, liabilities such as deferred revenue and matured principal and interest payable, and reservations of the fund balance are not presented. Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, encumbrances are only recognized as a reservation of fund balance; encumbrances outstanding at year-end do not constitute expenditures or liabilities. Consequently, the expenditures as reported do not present the cost of goods and services received during the fiscal year in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. General fixed assets that account for the land, buildings, and equipment owned by the municipality are not presented in the financial statements. Also, general long-term debt such as general obligation bonds, capital leases, and compensated absences are not presented in the financial statements. The required balance sheet, income statement, and the statement of cash flows are not presented for proprietary fund types. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require these fund types to be accounted for by the full accrual method of accounting.

Utility Accounts Receivable

The City records water revenues billed to its customers when meters are read on a monthly basis. Charges for sewage treatment are billed monthly. It is not practicable to estimate unbilled service receivables at December 31, 2009, and further, the amounts thereof are not material in relationship to the financial statements taken as a whole. Accounts are considered past due on the twenty-sixth of every month. The allowance for bad debt is based on management's assessment of the collectability of specific accounts and are written off when judged to be uncollectible. Accounts receivable are reviewed monthly by management.

Use of Estimates

The process of preparing financial statements requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding certain types of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Such estimates relate primarily to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, upon settlement, actual results may differ from estimated amounts.

Policy for Applying FASB Pronouncements to Proprietary Funds

Private-Sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in both the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with, or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Governments also have the option of following subsequent private-sector guidance for their business-type activities and enterprise funds, subject to the same limitation. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

Budgets

Kansas statutes require that an annual operating budget be legally adopted for all funds (including debt service and enterprise funds) unless exempted by specific statute. The statutes provided for the following sequence and timetable in adoption of the legal annual operating budget:

- 1. Preparation of the budget for the succeeding calendar year on or before August 1 of each year.
- 2. Publication in local newspaper of the proposed budget and notice of public hearing on the budget on or before August 5 of each year.
- 3. Public hearing on or before August 15, but at least ten days after publication of notice of hearing.
- 4. Adoption of the final budget on or before August 25.

The statutes allow for the governing body to increase the originally adopted budget for previously unbudgeted increases in revenue other than ad valorem property taxes. To do this, a notice of public hearing to amend the budget must be published in the local newspaper. At least ten days after publication, the hearing may be held and the governing body may amend the budget at that time.

The statutes permit transferring budgeted amounts between line items within an individual fund. However, such statutes prohibit expenditures in excess of the total amount of the adopted budget of expenditures of individual funds. Budget comparison statements are presented for each fund showing actual receipts and expenditures compared to legally budgeted receipts and expenditures.

All legal annual operating budgets are prepared using the modified accrual basis of accounting modified further by the encumbrance method of accounting. Revenues are recognized when cash is received. Expenditures include disbursements, accounts payable, and encumbrances. Encumbrances are commitments by the municipality for further payments and are supported by a document evidencing the commitment, such as a purchase order or contract. Any unused budgeted expenditure authority lapses at year-end.

Original appropriations are modified by supplemental appropriations and transfers among budget categories. The City commission approves all significant changes.

A legal operating budget is not required for capital projects fund, enterprise utility reserve funds, permanent funds, and the following special revenue funds:

Capital improvement

Police seizure

Spending in funds which are not subject to the legal annual operating budget requirement is controlled by federal regulations, other statutes, or by the use of internal spending limits established by the governing body.

These taxes become a lien against all property November 1. Taxpayers have the option of paying in full or in two installments. The delinquency dates are December 20 and May 10. Taxes levied to finance the budget are made available to the City after January 1 and are distributed by the county treasurer generally in the months of January and June. Delinquent tax collections are distributed throughout the year.

NOTE 2—DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

The City maintains a cash and investment pool that is used by all funds. Each fund's portion of this pool is displayed on the Summary of Cash Receipts, Expenditures, and Unencumbered Cash.

K.S.A. 9-1401 established the depositories which may be used by the City. The statute requires banks eligible to hold the City's funds have a main or branch bank in the county in which the City is located and the banks provide an acceptable rate of return on funds. In addition, K.S.A. 9-1402 requires the banks to pledge securities for deposits in excess of FDIC coverage. The City has no other policies that would further limit interest rate risk.

K.S.A. 12-1675 limits the City's investment of idle funds to time deposits, open accounts, and certificates of deposit with allowable financial institutions; United States government securities; temporary notes; no-fund warrants; repurchase agreements; and the Kansas Municipal Investment Pool. The City has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices.

Concentration of Credit Risk

State statutes place no limit on the amount the City may invest in any one issuer as long as the investments are adequately secured under K.S.A. 9-1402 and 9-1405.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned to it. State statutes require the City's deposits in financial institutions to be entirely covered by federal depository insurance or by collateral held under a joint custody receipt issued by a bank within the State of Kansas, the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, or the Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka. All deposits were legally secured at December 31, 2009.

Deposits

At year end, the carrying amount of the City's deposits, including certificates of deposit was \$448,988. The bank balance was \$461,989. The bank balance was held by one bank resulting in a concentration of credit risk. Of the bank balance, \$250,000 was covered by FDIC insurance and the remaining \$211,989 was collateralized by pledged securities held under joint custody receipts issued by a third-party in the City's name.

NOTE 3-LONG-TERM DEBT

Terms for long-term liabilities for the City for the year ended December 31, 2009, were as follows:

Issue	Interest Rates	Date of Issue	Interest Due	Principal Due	Amount of Issue	Date of Final Maturity
General obligation bonds						
Series 2009A	4.250%	06/18/09	06-18	06-18	\$ 701,000	06/18/49
Series 2009B	3.625%	06/18/09	06-18	06-18	78,000	06/18/49
Loans						
Chase Firefighters						
Relief Association	1.000%	04/15/04	01-15	01-15	30,000	04/15/29
Temporary notes						
Series 2008	3.000%	10/15/08	10-15	10-15	677,000	10/15/09
Capital leases						
Mower	4.250%	10/24/08	Monthly	Monthly	8,000	11/01/11

Issue	Beg	lance jinning Year	Ad	ditions		Balance Reductions/ End of Payments Year		End of Interest		
General obligation bonds										
Series 2009A	\$	-	\$7	01,000	\$	-	\$	701,000	\$	-
Series 2009B		-		78,000		-		78,000		-
Loans										
Chase Firefighters										
Relief Association		25,712		-		1,099		24,613		257
Temporary notes										
Series 2008		677,000		-	(677,000		-		15,515
Capital leases										
Mower		7,798		-		2,574		5,224		282
	\$	710,510	\$ 7	79,000	\$ 6	680,673	\$	808,837	\$	16,054

Changes in long-term liabilities for the City for the year ended December 31, 2009, were as follows:

Current maturities of long-term debt and interest for the next five years and in five year increments through maturity are as follows:

		P	rincipal			In	terest	 	
	General Obligation Bonds		Loans	Capital .eases	General Obligation Bonds	<u> </u>	_oans	apital eases	Total Principal nd Interest
2010	\$ 7,849	\$	1,110	\$ 2,686	\$ 32,620	\$	246	\$ 170	\$ 44,681
2011	8,177		1,121	2,538	32,292		235	81	44,444
2012	8,519		1,132	-	31,950		224	-	41,825
2013	8,874		1,144	-	31,595		213	-	41,826
2014	9,246		1,155	-	31,223		201	-	41,825
2015-2019	52,359		5,950	-	149,986		830	-	209,125
2020-2024	64,263		6,254	-	138,082		526	-	209,125
2025-2029	78,879		6,747	-	123,466		207	-	209,299
2030-2034	96,828		-	-	105,517		-	-	202,345
2035-2039	118,871		-	-	83,474		-	-	202,345
2040-2044	145,943		-	-	56,402		-	-	202,345
2045-2049	 179,192		-	 -	 23,152		-	 	 202,344
	\$ 779,000	\$	24,613	\$ 5,224	\$ 839,759	\$	2,682	\$ 251	\$ 1,651,529

NOTE 4—INTERFUND TRANSFERS

Operating transfers for the year ended December 31, 2009, consisted of the following:

From	То	Statutory Authority	 Amount		
General fund	Water project fund	City ordinance	\$ 25,189		
Water fund	Water project fund	City ordinance	25,189		
Water fund	Bond and interest	City ordinance	1,405		

NOTE 5—RISK MANAGEMENT

The City is subject to certain risks such as torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. These risks are covered by commercial insurance purchased from third parties. There have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the prior year and there have been no settled claims in excess of insurance coverage for the prior three years.

NOTE 6-COMMITMENTS

At year-end, capital project authorizations with approved change orders compared with expenditures from inceptions are as follows:

	Exp				
		to Date	Project		
	12/31/09		Authorization		
Street project	\$	26,652	\$	381,321	

NOTE 7—DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

Plan Description

The City participates in the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (KPERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan as provided by K.S.A. 74-4901, et seq. KPERS provides retirement benefits, life insurance, disability income benefits, and death benefits. Kansas law established and amends benefit provisions. KPERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to KPERS, 611 South Kansas Avenue, Suite 100, Topeka, KS 66603-3803, or by calling 1-888-275-5737.

Funding Policy

K.S.A. 74-4919 establishes the KPERS member-employee contribution rate at 4.00% of covered salary. The employer collects and remits member-employee contributions according to the provisions of section 414(h) of the Internal Revenue Code. State law provides that the employer contribution rate be determined annually based on the results of an annual actuarial valuation. KPERS is funded on an actuarial reserve basis. State law sets a limitation on annual increases in the contribution rates for KPERS employers. The KPERS average employer rate established for 2009, was 6.54%. The City's employer contributions to KPERS for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, were \$6,343, \$6,905, and \$3,570, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Schedule 1 Page 1

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures	
United States Department of Agriculture Community facilities loans and grants		10.766		\$	963,000
Department of Housing and Urban Development Pass-through from Kansas Department of Commerce Community development block grant	ARRA	14.228	09-PF-922		30,002
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS				\$	993,002

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Schedule 1 Page 2

NOTE 1-BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of City of Chase, Kansas and is presented on the statutory basis of accounting as described in note 1. Expenditures include disbursements, accounts payable, and encumbrances. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the presentation of, the basic financial statements.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

			Page 1
SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS			
Financial Statements			
Type of auditor's report issued			nqualified utory basis)
Internal control over financial reporting Material weakness(es) identified?			Yes
Significant deficiencie(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?			No
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?			No
Federal Awards			
Internal control over major programs Material weakness(es) identified?			Yes
Significant deficiencie(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?			No
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs		U	nqualified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133?			Yes
Major Programs	CFDA #		
United States Department of Agriculture Community facilities loans and grants	10.766		
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B progra	ms	\$	300,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?			No

Schedule 2

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Schedule 2 Page 2

FINDINGS – FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

2009-1 Segregation of Duties

Condition: There is a lack of appropriate segregation of duties related to all accounting functions.

Criteria: The City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls.

Cause: The City does not have adequate funds or training to achieve the proper segregation of duties.

Effect: Without the proper segregation of duties, the risk that the City's financial statements may be materially misstated increases and the City's responsibility to prevent and detect fraud may be at risk.

Recommendation: Other procedures need to be implemented to the extent possible to lessen the effect of the lack of segregation of duties.

Grantee Response: The City does not have sufficient funds to adequately staff the office to achieve the proper segregation of duties. The City Council does review all expenditures prior to final disbursement and multiple signatures are required on all checks. The City also has contracted for an independent audit of its financial statements even when not required under state statutes.

2009-2 Internal Controls over Compliance with Federal Programs

Condition: The City has no policies or procedures in place over internal controls related to federal programs.

Criteria: The City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls over compliance with federal programs.

Effect: The lack of internal controls over compliance with federal programs place the City at a greater risk of not detecting or preventing noncompliance with federal programs.

Cause: The City does not have adequate funds or training to design and implement a program of internal controls for federal grants that are rarely awarded to the City.

Recommendation: To the extent possible, the City should establish controls over compliance with federal programs.

Grantee Response: The City agrees with the finding. The City understands the need for proper internal controls and accordingly has hired a third party administrator to assist the City in an effort to follow all the requirements of the federal programs.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Schedule 2 Page 3

FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Community facilities loans and grants

CFDA 10.766

MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

- 2009-3 <u>Segregation of Duties</u> The material weakness at Finding 2009-1 also applies to this grant.
- 2009-4 Internal Controls over Compliance with Federal Programs The material weakness at Finding 2009-2 also applies to this grant.